



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/763,091	01/21/2004	Vernard W. Sanders	636-20-016	6070

7590 10/17/2005

Marvin E. Jacobs  
KOPPEL & JACOBS  
Suite 215  
2151 Alessandro Drive  
Ventura, CA 93001

EXAMINER

THOMPSON, HUGH B

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

3634

DATE MAILED: 10/17/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<b>Office Action Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/763,091	<b>Applicant(s)</b> SANDERS, VERNARD W.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Hugh B. Thompson II	<b>Art Unit</b> 3634	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

#### Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

#### Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 January 2004.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

#### Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-50 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-50 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☒ Claim(s) 1-50 is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

#### Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

#### Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some \* c) ☐ None of:
- ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
  - ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
  - ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- \* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

#### Attachment(s)

- |  |   |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)   | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)             |
| 3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>1-13-05</u> . | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____  |

CL

## DETAILED ACTION

### *Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112*

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-50 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

With respect to claim 1, the catch is an element of the seal block. As such, it is unclear as to how it catches a portion of the bolt when the seal block is in a retracted position, i.e., what cause the seal block to come to a retracted position? Further, what causes the bolt to move between the retracted and extended positions? Nothing in the claim has been recited that initiates movement of the bolt or the seal block. Finally, because the catch is an element of the seal block, it is unclear as to how the catch releases the seal block, i.e., releases itself. There is no point of reference from which the movement for both has been established, i.e., relative to what do they move. The applicant needs to recite an initiating movement for both, or an actuating force/event that acts upon the bolt and the seal block.

Regarding claim 1, the word "means" is preceded by the word(s) "spring" in an attempt to use a "means" clause to recite a claim element as a means for performing a specified function. However, since no function is specified by the word(s) preceding "means," it is impossible to determine the equivalents of the element, as required by 35 U.S.C. 112, sixth paragraph. See *Ex parte Klumb*, 159 USPQ 694 (Bd. App. 1967).

Art Unit: 3634

With respect to claims 4 and 5, there is no antecedent basis for “said bolt portion”.

With respect to claim 5, there is no antecedent basis for “said seal block shoulder” or “said bolt shoulder”.

With respect to claims 9, 10, 13-15, 19-21, 25, 26, 28-31, 33, 35, 37, 40-43, and 50, the applicant has positively recited the combination of the astragal seal and the “astragal”, “door”, “door frame”, “header”, “sill”, “elongated guide”, “side channels”, and “longitudinal channel”. It is suggested that the applicant use the phrase “adapted to” when referring to these unclaimed structures.

With respect to claims 16-18, it is unclear as to how the seal block “extended position” adjusts automatically. Further, the claims should end in a period.

#### *Allowable Subject Matter*

Claim 1 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action.

Claims 4, 5, 9, 10, 13-21, 25, 26, 28-31, 33, 35, 37, 40-43, and 50, would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action. The primary reason for the allowable subject matter of claim 1, is the inclusion of the seal block having a catch and a hole, a bolt slidably received within the hole between retracted and extended positions, the catch “catching” a portion of the bolt when so engaged so as to hold the seal block between a retracted and released position when the bolt is so moved, and a spring means “for forcing” the seal block into an extended position, the spring means as provide for in the specification on page 24, lines 13-19, page 26, lines 16-22 and page 27, lines

Art Unit: 3634

1-2. The prior art of record fails to teach or suggest the claimed features absent the applicant's own disclosure.

### *Conclusion*

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Meisterheim #3,88,046, White #4,014,138, Carr #3,596,403, Leininger et al #4,866,963, Kalempa et al #6,910,301, Salen #6,715,235, Curnes et al #5,165,740, Symon #4,488,378, Hubbard #4,204,369, Toth #6,564,511, Beischel et al #4,052,819, Mussa #2,202,916, Campbell et al #5,076,620, O'Toole et al #5,382,060, and Easley #4,489,968 are cited to teach astragal and vertical bolt assemblies.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hugh B. Thompson II whose telephone number is (571) 272-6837. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Friday 9 am to 5:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Richard Chilcot can be reached on (571) 272-6777. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3634

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).



Hugh B. Thompson II  
Primary Examiner  
Art Unit 3634

October 12, 2005